App. F. IX-4

1932.

File

Seri

Previous References

Mg 13 of 1906-07
15 of 1905-06.

Later References

33-1
34-12.

General and Revenue Secretari

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for March 34

SUBJECT.

Arrears of Cash grant due to
at Kisangwe from 1892 4

do see Mg
" Complaints
" Dy Comm

Mg.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 235 of 1932.

Serial No.

From,

1 Moin-ul-Mizaratth A.K Syed Taj Peeran Brings to notice that the Makandar of the Sha Makkan, Kiranur, Seringapatam Tk arears of Cash grant from 1898 up-to-date. that the matter may be examined and the to him.

The G.O. of 1892 referred to is not rec'd A search is being made to obtain the Collect

2 If appd, the letter will be forwarded to report called for

3 Subd for orders

M. 16/1/33

4 A — Inform

For Secy)

5)

6/ Memo subd for favour of signature

M. 23/1/33

7/ Issued 23/1/

2 Petr Sent to M.C with No 1090 & Letter No P.C 317 dated from the Munsif Commis Submits a report with

2

File No. of 193 .

General and R
Secretari

Serial No.

Makkan et Kilangum - Pay of arrears of Cash grant due for 1892 withdrawn.

T
V, Z
C

1) The facts of the case are clearly ex in the Govt orders dated 15th De 11th July 1895, 30th March 1906 and 1909, and they may be stated as follows:-

History of the case till 1909.

2) certain wet and dry lands of Kir village, Seringapatam Taluk were origin to one Abdulla Sha Peer Saheb, as inam. The Inam Commissioner these lands in 1879 as Devadaya Abdulla Sha Makkan. But prior to Settlement the lands having been by Pachamiah, a descendant of the grantee, the inam was resumed and were fully assessed as per G.O. dated On the appeal of the mortgagee Inami directed that a money grant equal assessment on the above lands be the said Pachamiah's Son, Abdulla then Makkandar, for the main Makkan and that he be allowed to the Mortgage within 6 months.

T

grant order..

37

File No. of 193 .

General and Rev
Secretariat

Serial No.

and 1892-93. As the produce for 1891-92 was taken away by the widow Pachamiah (Mortgagee) it was ordered in the G.O. dated 1909 that ~~this produce of the Value thereof~~ should be recovered from the cash grant due to the Makkandar under the G.O. dated 1892. As for the produce of the other two years it was ordered in the G.O. dated 1909 that the produce of the Value thereof be kept in deposit and paid to the Mortgagee Savariah Son of the deceased Arumiah.

T

4) In this order of 1909 it was further directed as follows:—

Govt o

That the full assessment on the lands still in the possession of the Makkandar should be recovered from him and paid as a cash grant to the Makkan for its current maintenance;

That the full assessment on the lands in Thimmanna's possession be recovered and treated as a cash grant to the Makkan ~~that~~ but appropriated to repayment of the debt due to Savariah and that after the debt is discharged, this amount be also paid to the Makkan for its

Serial No.

parties concerned and credited
subject to the decision that the a
from the Makkandar for the loss
possession need not be recei
cash but should be adjusted
as a cash grant.

4. That separate orders be
with regard to the cash grant
equivalent to the full assess
from the other parties, after
of the arrears of assessment as direc

5) The direction in the above G.O.
imposition of full assessment and
from the Makkandar and other pe
said to have been fully carried

6) The present Makkandar
to have stated
reported, that the debt (Mortgage)
has been fully discharged by his
he has not furnished any d
evidence in support of this. The S
Officer reports that Tavanish died
that his heirs, if any have not put
so far, their claim and that notices
been issued to them to prefer their
if any, with necessary proof. The
a
re considers

Serial No.

the assessment of the lands for the upkeep and maintenance of the Makkam, remains unfulfilled till the present-day. As regards this point, the D.C. states that it is seen that some of the lands were sold to one Linganna during 1894, by Pachaniabi's widow, and that these lands have been in the possession of his widow Thimmamma, the remaining lands in the possession of the Makkandar, and that full assessment was only imposed on all these lands only from 1906, and not from 1892 as ~~already~~ ordered previously.

(3) So far as the Gulk order of 1909 is concluded, the amounts noted below are reported to be due to the present Makkandar.

points consi

1. Full assessment on the lands both in the possession of the Makkandar and others i.e. Thimmamma etc, from the year 1906 upto 1931-32 (upto which the assessment due thereon has been received) ~~from which~~, minus the amount that might be due to Tavaniya
2. Full assessment on the lands in the possession of others from

Serial No.

fixed by Govt upto till now and no payment is being made also he has been made to pay assessment for the lands in from 1892 to 1931-32.

10) Further, as regards the possession of Thimmamma, it (a) Full assessments on these to have not been realised from the D.C states that if on verification accounts, this is found to be the till lease to be realised from them in possession and paid to He further states that he be directed to verify the old ac submit a report in the matter (b) The assessment due on the from 1906 to 1931-32 has been and credited to Land Rolls amount has to be paid to the but only subject to the amount that might be due to Jawahir being deducted therefrom for to the Concerned Party.

11) As regards the area Makkan

now and that it may be treated as a set-off towards the cash grant due to the Makkan.

12) Before payment of arrears can be ordered to the Makkandar, as per details noted above, the date at which the cash grant has to be given to the Makkan has to be determined. As already noted, it was directed in para 3 of the G.O. of 1892, that the Swan land be resurveyed and fully assessed and a cash grant equal to the assessment made for services at the Makkan. The D.C recommends that a cash grant at Rs 94 per annum as per old Survey assessment from 1906 to 1922-23 and at the enhanced rate of Rs 128-4-0 as per Resurvey from 1923-24 to 1931-32 may be paid. The Survey Superintendent who has consulted by the M.C, has certified to the correctness of the rates quoted by the D.C. above, and has stated that if the conversion had entered at the time of the

Points

Makkan at Kirangue - Arrears of due from 1892 upwards

Serial No.

nor that it would be right to Makkandar the benefit of the assessment merely because the cash grant has been delayed years) who has been responsible extraordinary delay, in spite of directions of Govt in the matter been even attempted to be

14) In the circumstances, the it equitable to fix the cash to the assessment at Rs 4 — that the lands bore at the time of 1892 and fixed at the origin Accordingly he requests sanc for fixing the cash grant at Rs 4 to the Makkan with effect from

T

Rs as for orders

(i)

(ii)

As assessment has not been in respect of the land in question the cash grant to the Makkan is only from 1906 and the M. states the &c, after the date is ascertd, necessary arrear bill from 1906

15) As regards the last para letter under disposal, it is submit a necessary search has been made here, and no da is available in

Inamdar at Kirangur — Arrears of Cash grant due from 1892 & upto 1923 a.

5

File No. of 193 . General and Secretar

Serial No.

6

The D.C. reports that arrears of cash grant due to Hazarati Abdulla Sha Inamdar at Kirangur, Seringapatam Taluk, has not been ordered to be paid because the rate at which the cash grant has to be given has not been determined.

7 The D.C. has recommended that the rate may be fixed at Rs 94/- per year as per old Survey assessment for 1906 to 1922-23 and at Rs 128/4/- as per re Survey for 1923-24 Onwards. The Survey Supdt has stated that, if the Conversion had been ordered at the time of the Inam Settlement the Cash grant would have been Rs 43/- only per year.

8 The D.C. opines that it would not be fair to the Inamdar to fix the Cash grant at Rs 43/- as stated by the Survey Supdt. Nor would it be fair, he says, to fix it at the Re Survey rate. He recommends therefore that the Cash grant may be fixed at what it would have been at the time the order directing the Commutation of the Inam into Cash equivalent to the full assessment on the lands was passed i.e. in 1892. This works to Rs 94/- per year. The D.C's recommendation may be sanctioned.

to fix at Rs 94 for 1892

9 As regards papers relating to appointment

Makkan al Kiran 41

General and Revenue Secretariat	File No.	of 193 .

Serial No.

agree with the
that the rate of cash g
fixed at Rs 94 per annu
Necessary return bills may be

There has been
delay in the disposal of the
Though definite orders were
by Govt in 1909, it was
effect to all these years,
matters more complicated. It
that it was lost sight of so
by the local officers for such
period + this case affords
of the indiff[t] manner in
some of the other cases are
with

For

/

23 A draft G.O is herewith, and
letter to the H.C stating with reference
to his letter of the 1st Nov 1933 that I have a
in [illegible] recom

Re arrears of Cash grant to Mr Markham at Keiwa.

(2 of 5)

Mur Eito No. 235 of 1932 | General and Secret

Revised draft submitted as directed,

8/8/84

Draft for Approval.

8/3/3

(Je Leury)

18.3.

2 & 191

127 clause 22.3.32 (4)
The Native Lands
Consolidation
Act 1931

Searching the records with the view to fix the date of the cash grant to the Markham at Keiwa from 1891 and finds that necessary refund bills may be got from 1891 vouchers.

127 & clause 22.3.32 (5)
The Native Lands Act

Vizarat

Syed Taj Peeran Sajjada, B.A.

Chamarajpet,

Bangalore City, /0

24469

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
2 JAN 1933
MUZRAI

Dear Dr Raja Gopala Menon,

May I request the favour of your perusing G.O. No. dated/ (copy of which has been enclosed herein for ready reference) relating to the adjustment of the assessment on certain Inam lands which had been granted for the maintenance of a Muzrai Institutio known as Hazrath Abdulla Sha Makkan situated in the village of Kiran Seringapatam Taluk and which subsequently was ordered by the Gove ment to be resumed and fully assessed and directing that the lat amount be given as an allowance to the Makkandar for the maintenan of the Institution ? This order as you will observe was passed so far back as in the year 1908. The order is exhaustive and the preamble will fully explain the facts of the case. The order whi confirming the previous order of the Government regarding the disposal of the assessment in the manner stated above, however, concluded by stating as follows:- ~~the adjustment of the assessment~~ regard to the cash grant to the Makkan equivalent to the full asse ment recovered from the other parties, separate orders will be passed after the recovery of the arrears of assessment as directed above." The Government had also directed that full assessment on the portion of land in the possession and enjoyment of the Inamdar need not be recovered in cash for the period" but should be adjust in the accounts as a cash grant to the Makkan for its maintenance d ing the period." I understand that in spite of such clear instructions the arrears of the assessment for the period namely from 1898 to 1906 were also recovered from the Makkandar thus giving him no relief whatsoever for meeting the most urgent expenses for the maintenance of the Makkan. Further it is doubtful if a report of

granting cash grant equivalent to the full assessme
directed to be resumed. The order under reference e
the necessary action without delay". Though the pre
the Government was final in the matter, the Government
temporarily with-held this decision with a view to get
the recovery of the arrears of assessment.

The Makkandar was a minor and his guardian was his
soon after. The Makkandar submitted several petitions
was in the wilderness. In the meanwhile, the Makkandar
for conducting the necessary daily and annual ceremonie
stitutions in the expectation of recovering the cash gr
could not attend to the repairs of the 'Ashar Khana' and
which deteriorated considerably with the result that th
in ruins and the 'Punjas are installed in the house of t
away from the Makkan. I am bringing these facts to you
as I am interested in the Institution as Sajjada of Myso
Seringapatam and the Makkan is amenable to my jurisdicti
Makkandar is my desciple.

I shall feel grateful if you kindly look into the ma
the bill for the claim of the cash grant from the year 18
sanctioned by the Government and the amount disbursed.

Yours sincerely.

Mr.M.K.
P.C 317
32.33.
24 JAN 1933
BANGALORE.

Memo 1990/Mes 4 2'-
Forwarded with Compliments to the Mysore

GEN. 489
9 NOV. 19

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

...ney Kraute ogue. ...e to the Respondent to

vrees at the Amkar. If the Respondent, however, is able t

com the mortgage, he may do so within six months.

3_ Government Order No. [illegible] dated 17th Jul

n petition of appeal No. 97 of 1894-95, presented by Sh

Adilgo Annaiya of Korimma etam appealing against the order

Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, dated [illegible]th April 1894, regard

nesne profits of the Kirangur Aaken Inam lands mortgaged to

t.tioner, which in Government decision, dated 14th December

recent Amildar Abdulla Sha was authorized to redeem.

2. Letter No. 1140, dated 15th April 1895, from the

missioner, Mysore District, reporting on the above and the

colved therewith.

O R D E R.

The Deputy Commissioner's decision is equitable and

given good reasons for allowing the appellant three

ad found by the Amildar. His appeal is rejected

F. overnment Order No. [illegible], dated 30th March 1896

"petition Registered No. 5[illegible], dated 2nd August 1[illegible]

nomma and her two minor sons in the matter of the

s belonging to them.

correspondence ending with docket No. 75, dated 7

the Superintendent of the Inam Settlements in Mys

O R D E R.

The facts of the case are as follows:--

Lands, Haji [illegible] and 8 measuring 3 Kandies 1

ket and 8 olagas and 16½ padies dry, bearing an a

the Kirangur vill

ng Sub Talu

489
9 NOV. 1933

bring the Inam Settlement in 1870 ... Nov 1st Inam ... for Fasli ... in
... bearing survey numbers noted ... Appeal. ... and ... of
the successors of the original Inamdar mortgagor the ...
Pone Puliga Annia for Rs. 1,200/- prior to the Inam settlement, ...
the Hon'ble Mr. D. Nunkal Rao, Sub Collector of Bezwada passed an
order in 1897, directing that the lands which were granted as
Devadaya Inam, be taken away from the hands of the Mortgagee and de-
livered over to the Inamdar. On appeal, the Government directed in
their Proceedings No. 1611-1-1 dated 10th October 1899, that in
consideration of the fact that the Inam granted was in the
personal and that the Appellant Pullayya had held the lands for many years
prior to the Inam settlement, the Inam be restored but fully assessed
permitting the Inamdar, however, to redeem the mortgage within six
months, if he were able to do so. In pursuance of this direction, the
widow of the deceased Inamdar, having deposited before the Deputy
Commissioner a sum of Rs. 1,500/- being the mortgage money, the lands
were taken
away from the mortgagee and given to the representatives of
herself and her minor son, Ahmulla Sahib. During the interval, three
years produce became due to the mortgagee Pullayya but out of the
one year's produce, viz., the produce of paddy for the year 1899-1900
been collected by the widow Muthabi and utilised by her. The value
of this viz., Rs. 350/-, together with interest thereon at 6% per annum
from the 1st April 1900, was therefore ordered by the Deputy
Commissioner, Mr. Ranga Rau Iyengar to be recovered from the said
Muthabi and her minor son and paid to Pullayya. The said Pullayya
and his son Jayaraiya began to press for payment. But ...
recover the said amount the Deputy Collector of Bezwada,
Narayanaswami Mudaliar, advertised for sale ... of the lands in
question, which had been subsequently sold by them to one ...
of Peddapuram in November 1904 for Rs. 2,000/-. The lands ...

2. The Government of Mysore filed the land now in of the petitioner Thimamma became her own propert a been declared by Government to be the private proper Sirsi Sirsi and were purchased by the petitioner's husban As such they are not liable to attachment and sale on Javaraiya's claim. The amount due by the Sirsi under the decree of the Asst. Deputy Commissioner Sr. will have to be recovered by Devaraiya from the privat the Sirsi.

4. Petition No. 3 11 dated 10th October 19 4, fr sor of Anraiya, praying for a reconsideration of the Government (No. 7) read above.

No. 342-SL--GAZ. 1?-11-4, dated Bangalore, 5 April 1965--The various parti s concerned appear Government in person and through counsel and were hea July and 20th August 1968. The facts of the ca e ar papers read in the preamble.

2. The amount to the recovery of which the prese relates is the mesne profits of the formerly helonit and now in the possession of Thimamma and her sons Hara, 1961-62. The lands had been attached by o possession of Annaiah and the produce of the year we Sirsi. In his order passed in 1964, the Com missioner of the Mysore District (Sri A.... Asami the Sirsi to pay Rs. the value of the produc the year Phalguna (1961-62) with interest at 4 per ce 1st April 1963 to Javaraiya, son of Annaiya, and the amount should be recovered for the security of

ENL. B. 489 NOV 193

12/3

Government and that [illegible] liable and directed Javaraiya [illegible] property of the Amildar. [illegible] revealed.

4. On a full and careful [illegible] aspects and after hearing [illegible] to the conclusion that Javaraiya [illegible] area of Government [illegible] Amildar without being obliged [illegible]

5. In a statement [illegible] mother (guardian) [illegible] tion for the sale of the land [illegible] charge of the claim of Javaraiya, but [illegible] fy the claim. The original [illegible] even if the allegation is true, [illegible] contractual relations between [illegible] Amildar and is a matter [illegible] upon.

6. So far as the Government [illegible] the obligation subject to [illegible] Amildar in possession of the land, [illegible] remains undischarged.

7. The Amildar argued [illegible] and is bound to restore the [illegible] thereto viz., Javaraiya (and now [illegible] ment is responsible to see that [illegible] out of the possession in [illegible].

8. As the Amildar is too poor [illegible] Government direct that the [illegible] manner hereinafter specified, [illegible]

Thimmamma and her husband under the sale-deed of 1894. So
direct that the full assessment on the lands still in the
of the Makandar be recovered from him and paid as a cash
to the Vakan for its current maintenance, and that the fu
ment on the lands in Thimmamma's possession be recovered
as a cash grant to the Vakan, but appropriated to the rep
the debt due to Javaraiya, son of Annish, as directed abo
the debt is discharged this amount will also be paid to t
its maintenance as directed in the Government Order of 18
produce of the lands for the years Vikruti (1890-91) and
(1891-92) was also due to Javaraiya, son of Annish, under
Deputy Commissioner's order of 1894. [illegible]
This produce was kept in deposit and was directed to be p
to Annish in 1894. It is not clear from the papers now
Government whether this has been done, but if this has no
and the produce or the value thereof is still in deposit,
puty Commissioner is requested to deliver the same to Jav
out delay.

10. In connection with this case Government find that
rection in the Government Order of 1892, that the lands t
ing to the Vakan should be fully assessed and that a cash
equivalent to the assessment should be made to the Vakan
yet been fully carried into effect. It is observed that
were fully assessed only in 1894, and that till then no
was being recovered thereon either from the Makandar or
Thimmamma or her husband Linganna who were in possession
lands.

11. Government cannot but express great dissatisfac
regret that a matter of this kind should have escaped th
of the local authorities for such a long time and that

E V 489
E 2 NOV. 1933

the liability to pay such assessment on the [illegible] of the Government Order of 1933. They, therefore, request that such full assessment be recovered [illegible] and credited to Government, subject however to the [illegible] the amount due from the Salander for the [illegible] need not be recovered in cash but should be [illegible] accounts as a cash grant to the Salander for [illegible] the period. With regard to the cash grant to the Salander [illegible] to the full assessment recovered from the [illegible] orders will be passed after the recovery of the [illegible] ing ment as directed above.

12. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to take [illegible] further action without delay and report to Government [illegible] such action.

[illegible] Chandrasekhara Ayyar.

Secy. to Govt. Gen. Rev. Section.

M C. 13]

GEN. 483 2 NOV 193

No. Pe 317 Eve 1932 / 32-33 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COM IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore,... ...

From Muz 335-32-3

S. KHIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

A/requires working into

To

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Myso
Dep

Sir,

Advertiting to [illegible]
a report re: [illegible]
Naxxar at [illegible]
forwarding herewith [illegible]
Deputy Commissioner, [illegible]
records [illegible]

2. M.C. [illegible] Deputy Commissioner [illegible] the case. [illegible] para 3 of their order [illegible] that one inam land [illegible] equal to the assessment [illegible] Deputy Commissioner [illegible] as per old survey [illegible] per present survey [illegible]

3. The [illegible] to the collector [illegible]

benefit of the revised assessment merely because the fixing of the c has been delayed. The order directing the commutation of the inam equivalent to the full assessment on the lands having been passed it seems equitable to give a cash grant equivalent to the assessment on lands bore at the time of the order, viz., Rs.94/- per annum, the as fixed at the original settlement. I request that sanction of Gover be obtained for fixing the cash grant at the above rate to the Manna effect from 1892.

5. As assessment has not been recovered in respect of the land in till 1906, the cash grant is now due only from 1906, and the Deputy er will be requested, after the rate is sanctioned by Government, to necessary arrear bill from 1906 onwards.

6. It is surprising that there should be no record in the District Offices as to how the claims of Jav raiah to whom a large amount was settled. I request that if there are any papers in the Secretariat to the adjustment of Javaraiah's claim, they may kindly be sent for and return.

I have the honor t

Sir,

Your most obedient s

Muzrai Commissio

Letter No.55/33-34 dated 15-9-33 from the Superintendent, Reve and Settlement in Mysore, to the ~~Revenue~~ Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.P.C.317/32-33 dated 29th Jul questing to verify the original and revision assessments and to fu opinion as to what should be the cash grant to the Makkandar of Ki Seringapatam Taluk, I have the honor to state that the original an assessments of Rs 94/- and Rs 128-4-0 respectively are correct.

It may be stated that when land inams were converted into cas an amount equal to the beriz at the Inam Settlement was treated as Inam. If this conversion had been ordered at the time of the Ina ment the Makkandar would have got a cash grant of Rs 43-5-6 or Rs 43

The file of papers received with your letter is returned here

---

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

HR.

Letter No.H.728/32 dated 21-6-33 from the Deputy Commissio
District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Arrears of cash grant - Hazarath Abdulla Sha Makkan - Kira

With reference to your letter No.P.C.317/32-33 dated 18/19
1933 calling for a report on the letter received from Mr. Syed
requesting the payment of the arrears of cash grant due to the
I have the honor to forward herewith letter No.A3-700/32 dated
ceived from the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, and to stat
facts of the case are clearly explained in the marginally noted

G.O. No.10126-P.F.129 dated 15-12-1892	)Orders of 1892, 1895,
G.O. No. 476-P.F.129-92 " 11- 7-1895	)
G.O. No. 4355 " 30- 3-1906	)1909. They are brie
G.O. No.548-52/Muz.13-06-4 dated 5-1-1909)	

2. Certain wet an
of Kirangur village, Seringapatam Taluk, were originally grante
inam to one Abdulla Sha Peer Jode. The lands were however
Devadaya Inam in 1879 for Abdulla Sha Makkan by the Inam Commis
prior to the Inam Settlement the lands having been mortgaged by
descendant of the original grantee, the inam was resumed and th
fully assessed as per orders of Government passed in 1892. On
the mortgagee Annaiah, it was directed that a money grant equal
essment on the above lands be made to the said Pachamiah's son,
the then Makkandar for the maintenance of the makkan and that h
lowed to redeem the mortgage within 6 months.

In 1894 the mortgage having been redeemed, it was ordered
Order of 1895 that the mortgagee Anniah was entitled to 3 years
the years 1890-91, 1891-92 and 1892-93. As the produce for 189
taken away by the widow of Pachamiah (mortgagor) it was ordered
1909 that this should be recovered from the cash grant due to t
under the Government Order of 1892. As for the produce of the o
years it was observed in the Government Order of 1909 that the
the value thereof for these two years may be kept in deposit an
mortgagee (Javaraiah son of the deceased Anniah).

The present makkandar states that the debt due to Javarai

3. As regards the payment of cash grant equal to the assessment lands in question to the makkan for its maintenance as ordered in of 1892, it is seen that some of the lands were sold to one Lingan 1894 by the widow of Pachamiah and that these lands have been in t sion of his widow Thimmamma, the remaining lands in the possession Makkandar, and that full assessment was imposed on all these lands from the year 1906 though assessment should have been imposed from 1892.

So far as the lands in the possession of the makkandar are co he has paid kandayam on his lands from 1906 to 1931-32. As no as was levied from 1892-1906 he has not paid it for this period. It now be recovered from him and it may be treated as a set off towar cash grant due to the makkan.

4. As regards lands in possession of Thimmamma, it may be stated

(a) Full assessment on these lands is said to have not been r from 1892-1906. On verification of accounts if this is true the amount will have to be recovered from the alie in possession and paid to the makkandar. The Amildar to verify the old accounts & submit a report in the matter.

(b) Assessment on the said lands recovered from 1906 to 1931- credited to Land Revenue. This amount has to be paid t makkandar. But in case Javaraiah's heirs come forward forth their claim the value of one year's produce for 9 to be deducted out of the above cash grant and paid to no satisfactory proof is forthcoming that their claim h been settled as alleged by the present makkandar. If a bill will be got prepared for the assessment collected makkandar and the alienees for the period from 1906 to and the same submitted for sanction. The amount will h paid at Rs 94/- per year as per old Survey assessment fr to 1922-23 and at Rs 128-4-0 as per re-survey assessmen 24 to 1931-32.

5. As regards the cash grant to be paid to the makkandar from nd onwards it has to be fixed at Rs 128-4-0 as per re-survey asses

I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained ehalf.

6. The Sub Division Officer has issued orders to the Amildar not he assessment from the current year from the makkandar on the por Lands in his possession and has accordingly submitted a remission

nt for the current ear, less cesse

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

The following Government Orders relating to the cash in lieu of inam lands endowed to the Hazarath Abdulla Sha Makkar Kirangur in Seringapatam Taluk:--

(i) No.10126/F.F.129 dated the 15th December 1899.
(ii) No. 476/F.F.129-99 " " 11th July 1905.
(iii) No.4355/Muz.530-05 " " 30th March 1906.
(iv) No.548-59/Muz.13-06-4 dated 5th January 1909.

2. Representation dated 10th January 1933 from Peer-ul-Faiz-Mr.A.K.Syed Taj Peeran, B.A., Sajjada of Mysore and Seringapatam requesting that the arrears of cash grant due to the Hazarath Abd Sha Makkan at Kirangur from the year 1898 up-to-date may be orde to be disbursed to the Makkandar.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.F.C.317/32-33 date the 1st November 1933 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in matter of fixing the cash grant for the Makkan referred to above.

Order No. 1275-7/Muz 335-32-4
Dated, Bangalore, the 20th March 1934.
2285

In para 3 of their order dated the 15th December 1899, Government directed that the inam land in respect of the said Ma be resumed and fully assessed, and a money grant equal to the as ment, made to the Makkandar for services at the Makkan. Further directions in the matter were also issued ~~in the matter~~ in cover Order dated 5th January 1909 read above. The Muzrai Commissioner accordin now recommends that the cash grant due to the Makkan from the ye 1899 may be fixed at an amount equivalent to the assessment that lands bore at the time of the order, viz., Rs.94/- per annum, th

Government agree with the Muzrai Commissioner, and direct that the rate of the cash grant for the Makkan be fix- at Rs.94/- per annum from the year 1899, and that necessary refund bills at the rate be got prepared from 1906 onwards.

In this connection, Government are constrained to observe that there has been considerable delay in the disposa of the case, as although definite orders were passed by Gov ment in 1909, they were not given effect to all these years making matters more complicated, that it is surprising that they were lost sight of by the local officers for such a lo period, and that this case affords an instance of the indi manner in which some of the Muzrai cases are dealt with.

for Financial Secretary to Gov

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

vvk.6.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No. 3

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Myso

Date of issue.....22-3-..... 1934.

Signed by.....

Read— The following Govt. orders on the cash grant in lieu of in endowed to the Hazarath Makkan at Kirangur in Jk.

(i) No. 10126/OF/128 dy 15-1

(ii) " 476/OF 128-928 1

(iii) " 4385/Rev 530-05 dy 3

Order thereon.—No

(iv) " 54852/Rev 13-06-

2- Representation dy 10-1-

Moin-ul-Vizarath M.A Taj Peeran B.A, Sajjada

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, kannada part ?

at Kiriapur from the year 1898 up-to-date may be ordered to be disbursed to the Makkandar.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. Rc 317/32-33 dt 1.11.33 from the RC in Mysore in the matter of fixing the Cash grant for the Makkan referred to above.

Order — No. 1275-7 Revenue Secretariat.

In para 3 of their order dt 15-12-1892 read above, Govt. directed that the inam land in respect of the said Makkan be resumed & fully assessed & a money grant equal to the assessment, made to the Makkandar for services at the Makkan. The RC according now recommends that the cash grant due to the Makkan from the year 1892 may be fixed at an amount equivalent to the assessment

List of Enclosure

Further directions issued in G.O. read above.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

and the
So far as
and from 1906 onwards, as
in respect of the land in ques
not been recovered till that year

Govt. agree with
direct that the rate of
Cash grant for the Mah
fixed at Rs. 9½ per
the year 1892, and that
refund bills at the rate
be not prepared for
onwards.

In this Connection,
constrained to observe
has been considerable de
disposal of the cases, as
orders were passed by Govt.
were not given effect to all
making matters more com
is submitting that they

indifferent manner in which
some of the Mun. Cases are dealt
with.

8/8/84

1873

8/3/84 Pl. Secy Hfx

The Gov. will send
Comptroller
Dr Inverarity

1278 Price 52

Mcz 335-32-5 20th March 34

2215

From The Financial Secretary to Govt

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

With reference to para 6 of your letter No.F.7.317/32-33 dated the 1st November 1933 regarding the arrears of cash grant due to the Nazareth Abdulla Sha Nekkan at Kirangur, Seringapatam Taluk, I am directed to state that no papers relating to the adjustment of Javariah's claim are traceable in this office.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Financial Secretary to Govt.

vvk.3.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section M 23

File No. 335-3

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 3 copies

To 1278 Revenue

The M. C in c

Sir,

With reference to

your letter No. C 317

dated 1.11.1933 regarding

arrears of cash grant

the Harijanas Madiga

Makkalu at Kirinjur

Taluk, I am directed

that the case be kept pending to the

final disposal of the Variable Claim

in this Office.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 22-3-34

Signed by Mr B Muddukrishnappa

List of enclosures .....

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

The following Government Orders relating to the cash gra in lieu of inam lands endowed to the Hazarath Abdulla Sha Makkan Pirangur in Seringapatam Taluk:--

(i) No.10126/R.D.109 dated the 15th December 1899.
(ii) No. 476/R.D.109-99 " " 11th July 1905.
(iii) No.4355/Muz.530-05 " " 30th March 1906.
(iv) No.548-52/Muz.13-06-4 dated 5th January 1909.

Representation dated 10th January 1933 from Moin-ul-Viza Mr.A.K.Syed Taj Peeran, B.A., Sajjada of Mysore and Seringapatam requesting that the arrears of cash grant due to the Hazarath Abd Sha Makkan at Pirangur from the year 1898 up-to-date may be orde to be disbursed to the Makkandar.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.R.D.317/32-33 date the 1st November 1933 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in matter of fixing the cash grant for the Makkan referred to above

---

Order No. /275-7 /Muz 335-32-4
Dated, Bangalore, the 20th March 1934.
22nd

In para 3 of their order dated the 15th December 19 Government directed that the inam land in respect of the said be resumed and fully assessed, and a money grant equal to the a ment, made to the Makkandar for services at the Makkan. Furthe directions in the matter were also issued ~~in the matter~~ in Gove Order dated 5th January 1909. The Muzrai Commissioner accordi now recommends that the cash grant due to the Makkan from the y 1899 may be fixed at an amount equivalent to the assessment the

Government agree with the Muzrai Commissioner, and direct that the rate of the cash grant for the Makkan be fi at Rs.94/- per annum from the year 1899, and that necessary refund bills at the rate be got prepared from 1906 onwards.

In this connection, Government are constrained to observe that there has been considerable delay in the disco of the case, as although definite orders were passed by Gov ment in 1909, they were not given effect to all these year. making matters more complicated, that it is surprising that they were lost sight of by the local officers for such a l period, and that this case affords an instance of the indi manner in which some of the Muzrai cases are dealt with.

for Financial Secretary to Gove

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

vvk.6.